subject to diversions and delays from Dallas Forth Worth airports on December 29th, 2006, and for 2 such other relief as the Court deems just and proper in the circumstances. 3 The ground for this motion is that United States District Court lacks jurisdiction as claimed by Defendant, American Airlines, Inc., through its attorney, Jie-Ming Chou, in a Notice of 4 5 Removal dated January 31, 2008, removing this action from the Superior Court of Napa County 6 California by alleging original federal jurisdiction based on the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 7 U.S.C. Section 1332(d) and Diversity of Citizenship and Supplemental Jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. 8 Sections 1332(a)(1), 1367(a) and 1441(a). 9 This motion is based on this Notice of Motion and Motion, the accompanying 10 Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declarations of Kathleen Hanni and Paul S. Hudson, the 11 (Proposed) Order filed herewith, and upon other such matters as may be presented to this Court. 12 LAW OFFICES OF DAVID G. RAMOS 13 Dated: February 29, 2008 LAW OFFICES OF PAUL S. HUDSON PC 14 15 By: David G. Ramos 16 Attorneys for Plaintiff KATHLEEN HANNI 17 Individually and on behalf of all others Similarly situated 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28